



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/931,858	08/20/2001	Shinsuke Moriai	011049	3549
38834	7590 01/06/2005		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			BROWN, CHE	LISTOPHER J
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/931,858	MORIAI, SHINSUKE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher J Brown	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reprod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) di will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froe, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			·			
1)⊠ R€	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 January 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ⊤h	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4a 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI						
Application	Papers					
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2001 is/are oplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 o(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	T atom Apphobilott (FTO-194)			

Application/Control Number: 09/931,858

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka US 5,845,137 in view of Arnold US 6,289,452.

As per claims 1 and 5, Tanaka teaches a terminal device with a communications unit, a data recording device, and an interface control, (Col 4 lines 62-67, Col 5 lines 2-5). Tanaka teaches the terminal device has a detector unit for detecting whether the case is open or closed, (Col 11 lines 32-37, Figure 12). Tanaka teaches a power supply control unity in the terminal device, (Col 4 lines 25-35). Tanaka teaches that the power supply control will not suspend power if there is a modem attached receiving a signal, (Col 4 lines 40-53).

Tanaka does not teach downloading encrypted content and a decryption key.

Arnold teaches downloading encrypted content and a license key from a server, (Col 2 lines 5-10). Arnold teaches that the key is used to decrypt said encrypted content, (Col 2 lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 2134

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the downloading of encrypted content with the modern of Tanaka, because the encryption would provide security for internet transactions.

As per claims 2, and 6, Tanaka teaches that the user terminal will suspend when the lid is closed, but only if there isn't modem communications, (Col 2 lines 60-65, Col 4 lines 45-50).

As per claims 3 and 7, Tanaka teaches a terminal device with a communications unit, a data recording device, and an interface control, (Col 4 lines 62-67, Col 5 lines 2-5).

Tanaka teaches the terminal device has a detector unit for detecting whether the case is open or closed, (Col 11 lines 32-37, Figure 12). Tanaka teaches a power supply control unity in the terminal device, (Col 4 lines 25-35). Tanaka teaches that a special activity monitor is used so that the terminal device does not go into suspension mode until it has determined no useful work is being done, (Col 5 lines 33-50). Tanaka does not teach downloading encrypted content and a decryption key. Arnold teaches downloading encrypted content and a license key from a server, (Col 2 lines 5-10). Arnold teaches that the key is used to decrypt said encrypted content, (Col 2 lines 25-30).

As per claims 4, and 8, Tanaka teaches that when it has been determined no useful work is being done, the unit will shift into suspension mode, (Col 5 lines 44-50).

Application/Control Number: 09/931,858

Art Unit: 2134

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Christensen US 5,996,078 cites powering down after a download is complete.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher J Brown whose telephone number is

(571)272-3833. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on (571)272-3838. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher J. Brown

12/22/04

GILBERTO BARRÓN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100